Street names

Signs of the times

Plans to name Cambridge's streets are following in a long tradition

 $E^{\scriptsize{\text{NGLAND'S}}}$ gropecunt lanes are unusual. Not because of their name: in the earthy medieval era, streets were often named after professions-after butchers and bakers and candlewick-makers-so it is unsurprising that the supposedly oldest profession had several streets commemorating it. In Oxford, it squeezed cosily between University College and Oriel; in Shrewsbury, it ran into Fish Street, In London, naturally, there were several.

But what is unusual about these streets is that they have gone. In Oxford, citizens now walk down the more decorous Magpie Lane; in Shrewsbury, one might still enjoy Grope Lane-but on the whole smuttier street names have vanished; Britain has been cleansed of its old-fashioned filth.

Street names are telling. Run your eye down a list of British streets and it quickly becomes clear that, among the Jesus Lanes and Queen's Streets, the Trafalgar Squares and Empire Roads, lie not merely streets and alleys but ideals: a cartography of ideology and aspiration. So it is significant that Cambridge University has announced that next year it will name new streets after black alumni and abolitionists after its research found it had been "implicated in enslavement in a number of ways".

People rarely consider street signs, says Maoz Azarvahu, professor of cultural geography at the University of Haifa, but that does not mean that they do not matter. On the contrary: "It only means that they are successful." Their job is to introduce "official ideology into aspects of everyday life." That they do so subconsciously is far from a weakness. "This is their real power: that we don't pay attention to them.'

Politicians have long understood this. In Berlin in 1945, at the end of the war, the Russian-dominated city hall met for the first time. "The city was in ruins, bodies in the canals," says Mr Azaryahu, yet they discussed "what to do with Nazi street names." Walk through Europe and you walk through streets whose names have been overwritten so often they are part place, part palimpsest. In Paris, the Place Royale became a Place de la République; in Germany, Adolf Hitler Platzes spreadthen vanished; in Berlin, streets ran towards the Berlin Wall with one name, and emerged on the other side with another.

When academics analysed 4,932 street names in four cities (London, New York, Paris and Vienna) last year, certain national traits became clear. While bohemian Parisians might stroll along Avenue Victor Hugo (artists are Paris's most commemorated profession), London's streets tend to toady to the monarchy and the military. London is also inward looking: in cosmopolitan Vienna, nearly half of street signs commemorate foreigners; in insular London, only 15% do; though in melting pot New York it is merely 3%.

Not all names are political. Many, like gravestones, simply commemorate what lies dead underneath: the Meadow Streets and Grove Roads. Others are pure whimsy: in north London a burst of classical enthusiasm has led to residents living on Achilles Road, Ajax Road and the surely inauspicious Agamemnon Road. Others were proudly imperial: Rudyard Kipling named London's Empire Way; in south London you can pass from Khyber Road to Cabul Road or walk along Jamaica Road.

But mostly what marks Britain's streets is stasis: many of London's street names date back to 1600. This doesn't mean that nothing has changed. By the 1980s, 40% of London's streets were being named after women-all of them, not merely a body part. But changes tend to happen by accretion, not revolution: layer upon linguistic layer is added, each layer almost imperceptibly burying the last; an undisturbed archaeology of ideals. And next year in Cambridge, a new layer will be put down.



The stones remember



Scottish independence

A long road

The Supreme Court closes down one route to an independence referendum

 T T'S UP TO this place now," said Finlay Royle, gesturing towards the Houses of Parliament. He was leading a demonstration, clutching Saltires and EU flags, outside the Supreme Court on November 23rd. Mr Royle seemed rather chirpy for one whose campaign had just been dealt a blow; but breaking up a country is not for the faint of heart.

Hours earlier, Lord Reed of Allermuir, the president of the court and a Scot, had delivered a judgment that reshapes Britain's constitution. The Scottish government had asked whether the devolved parliament in Edinburgh has the power to legislate for a new referendum on independence without the agreement of the Westminster Parliament. It does not, said Lord Reed. The court could have ducked the issue; the "boldness and clarity" of its decision was a surprise, says Richard Parry of the University of Edinburgh.

The Scottish government had argued that a referendum would have no immediate legal effect, and would therefore be within its remit. Yet Lord Reed and his four colleagues unanimously decided that it would have a significant political effect by helping to undermine the union—that was the point, after all. It was therefore beyond the Scottish Parliament's limited scope. They brushed aside a submission from the Scottish National Party (SNP) claiming a right to self-determination under international law. Such concepts apply to colonies >>